UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,955	10/28/2003	Robert Naylor Laurie	P07351US01/BAS	3799
881 STITES & HAF	7590 04/15/200 RBISON PLLC	EXAMINER		
	FAIRFAX STREET	SHEIKH, HUMERA N		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/693,955	LAURIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Humera N. Sheikh	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 Ma</u>	arch 2008.				
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	ripanto dalayio, 1000 0.21 11, 10	0 0.0.2.0.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3,4,8 and 10-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
,—					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Receipt of the Response after Non-Final Office Action and Applicant's

Arguments/Remarks, filed 01/10/08 and the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), filed

03/10/08 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8 and 10-14 are pending in this action. No claims have been amended.

Claims 2, 5-7 and 9 have previously been cancelled. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8 and 10-12 remain rejected.

Claims 13 and 14 are objected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode

contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the

relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention. Applicant in their response filed 06/04/07, has amended the claims to recite

that the "trace element solution comprises a metal concentration of at least 60 mg/ml". The

limitation of a metal concentration comprising "at least 60 mg/ml" introduces new matter into

the instant claims. Applicant has not provided ample support for the amended claim language.

A review of the instant specification indicates that all of Examples 1-7 permits ranges less than

60 mg/ml of metal concentration. The fact that an example has 60 mg/ml does not establish that,

at the time of the invention, Applicant was aware that the use of "at least 60 mg/ml", which has a

scope well above 60, was a critical lower limit for their claimed invention. There is no specific

teaching to combine Examples 6 and 7 of the instant specification. Thus, the claim language

reciting "at least 60 mg/ml" of a metal concentration introduces a new concept not disclosed in

the original specification.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/10/08 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

• Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph:

Applicant argued, "Examples 1-5 and 7 are merely exemplary of possible 'intermediate'

solutions which must be combined with a selenium solution to provide enablement for the

present invention. The examples fully support a metal concentration of at least 60 mg/ml and

include support for metal concentrations up to 100 mg/me, which is considerably more than the

at least 60 mg/ml."

Applicant's arguments have been considered, but were not persuasive. It remains the position of the Examiner that Applicant cannot support the "at least 60 mg/ml" as currently claimed. Applicant is combining specific examples to support a general concept of at least 60 mg/ml, which is not permissible. Applicants can only support a claim to the exact 100 mg/ml, only if they wish to combine the examples, which in that instance the generic claim must recite 100 mg/ml in order to provide ample support. Applicant's combination of specific examples to provide support for the generic "at least 60 mg/ml" claimed does not overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Applicants can however support 60 mg/ml, but <u>not</u> "at least" 60 mg/ml.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

--No claims are allowed at this time.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,955 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0604.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday during

regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Hartley, can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Humera N. Sheikh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618

hns

April 10, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/693,955

Art Unit: 1618

Page 6